DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name: Bradford Electronics, Inc.

Facility Address: 550 High Street, Bradford, PA 16701

Facility EPA ID #: PAD 04 676 2258

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
	If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

		Yes	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		\mathbf{X}			See Explanation Below.
Air (indoors) ²			_X		
Surface Soil (e.g.,	<2 ft)		_X		See Explanation Below.
Surface Water			_X		
Sediment			_X		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g	., >2 ft)				See Explanation Below.
Air (outdoors)	,		_X		
	appropr	iate "leve	_	referen	and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing cing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating ded.
	"contan determi	ninated" i	nedium,	citing a dium co	after identifying key contaminants in each ppropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the buld pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing
	If unkno	own (for	any medi	a) - skij	p to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): <u>Groundwater</u>: There are no monitoring wells located on the property of the facility; however, sampling of the production well used to draw non-contact coolant water has occurred in the past. In a report by Chester Environmental Group summarizing split sample collection and analysis, contamination of groundwater was documented. Sampling at the facility from the production well commenced on January 15, 1991. Several inorganic analytes and one organic analyte exceeded the criteria outline in the Statewide Health Standard Tables for Soil and Groundwater Established by Subchapter C Section 250.312 of the Pennsylvania's Land Recycling Program (Act 2). The analytes exceeded the standards include: Chromium 210 ug/l (100), Nickel 210 ug/l (100), Trichloroethene (TCE) 6 ug/l (5), and iron 13,000 ug/l (300) as secondary contaminant. () = Act 2's Standards

<u>Soil/Subsurface Soil:</u> Bradford Electronics has concluded that the spill cleanup, contaminated soil and underground storage tank removal were complete as per facility's letter of September 28, 1999 to US Army Corps of Engineer, Philadelphia District. Reference report from H&A of New York, dated May 13, 1988, did indicate there was on-site soil contamination.

Footnotes:

"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **<u>Human Receptors</u>** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³
Groundwater	No	No	No	No		No	
Air (indoors)	NA	NA	NA				
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)	No	No	No	No	No N	No No	
Surface Water	NA	NA]	NA N	IA NA	
Sediment	NA	NA]	NA N	IA NA	
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)				No			No
Air (outdoors)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above.
- **2.** enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("___"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

X	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) inplace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
and Ra	afaranca(s). Sail: Facility has cancluded that there are no an-site contaminations as

Rationale and Reference(s): <u>Soil:</u> Facility has concluded that there are no on-site contaminations as indicated in the reference list.

Groundwater: Contaminants in groundwater were only marginally in excess of the Act 2's Standards. For example, TCE was only 1 ug/l above the Act 2's MSC, Chromium was 110 ug/l above the limit. Nearby resident's potable wells are located at the upgradient of the facility. Groundwater ingestion and groundwater dermal contact are not complete exposure route of concern at the site. Groundwater pathway for workers not complete due to water fountains available at the facility. All construction activities which may include contact with groundwater and soil are addressed by the facility Occupational Safety Programs and/or engineering controls.

NA = Not Applicable

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 4

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be
	"significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1)
	greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the
	acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude
	(perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the
	acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?

X	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s): See Item #3.

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 5

5.	Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?					
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).				
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.				
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code				
	Rationale and Reference(s):					

Page 6

6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):

X	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Bradford Electronics, Inc. facility, EPA ID # PAD 04 676 2258, located at 550 High Street in Bradford, PA 16701 under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
	NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
	IN - More information is needed to make a determination.
Completed by	(signature) /Hon Lee Date: 08-16-00 (print) Hon Lee (title) Remedial Project Manager
Supervisor	(signature) /Paul Gotthold Date: 09-27-00 (print) Paul Gotthold (title) PA Operations Branch Chief (EPA Region or State) EPA, Region 3

Locations where References may be found:

US EPA Region III, 3WC22, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103 EPA Administrative Records - Environmental Indicator Inspection Report for Bradford Electronics, Bradford, PA (EPA ID# PAD046762258).

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name) Hon Lee (phone #) 215-814-3419 (e-mail) lee.hon@epa.gov

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.